

# Method and Apparatus to Extract the Health of a Service from a Host Machine

## Technical Field

The technical field is software systems designed to monitor performance of a computer system.

## Background

Performance of modern computer systems, including networked computer servers, may degrade for a variety of reasons, many of which relate to the use of shared resources including disk bandwidth, memory capacity, and central processing unit (CPU) utilization. Information technology (IT) system administrators track performance of their computer systems to ensure optimum allocation of these and other shared resources. Performance monitoring software provides system administrators with the tools necessary to track system performance and to diagnose problems. The performance monitoring software may provide immediate performance information about a computer system, allow the administrator to examine computer system activities, identify and resolve bottlenecks, and tune the computer system for more efficient operation. The performance monitoring software may keep a history of the computer system performance, monitoring performance as a background task, and may send alarms for impending performance problems. Using the performance monitoring software, the administrator can pinpoint trends in computer system activities, and can use this information to balance workloads to accurately plan for computer system growth.

In order to examine performance, the performance monitoring software must first collect performance information. This performance information, or instrumentation, may be provided by the Operating System, software probes, or applications. Metrics derived from this instrumentation may be organized in several different ways, including by resource, or from a global level down to an application level (groups of processes), then to a process or individual thread level. Metrics derived by performance monitoring software can include CPU and memory utilization, time spent waiting for different system

resources, queue lengths, application-specific table and status information, and application response time. These metrics may be used by the administrator to tune the system for optimal performance, and the performance monitoring software may generate alerts and warnings whenever a threshold value is approached or exceeded. The thresholds may be adjustable, and the alerts and warnings may be provided by an e-mail message, for example.

Computer systems provide services to their users and to other services in a computing environment. The goal of tuning is to optimize the services which reside on a particular system. Services (such as a data repository or internet web service) may be composed of one or more specific applications, which are in turn composed of one or more processes instantiated on the computer system. Users of the computer system observe the behavior in terms of the service they access, whereas internally the computer system differentiates performance more in terms of specific resources, processes, and applications.

Unfortunately, current computing services do not have a consistent way to report their status to the tools that monitor performance. Each service, or its constituent applications and processes, may have internal status measures and instrumentation that would be useful to the performance monitoring software. However, there is no consistency in the way in which performance instrumentation is made available or reported. Furthermore, most applications do not generate their own performance information. Finally, services rarely receive “external” information related to the complex computer environment in which they operate. Bottlenecks external to the service itself, such as network bandwidth and dependent service shortfalls, may affect service health and responsiveness, yet the potential external bottlenecks are not monitored and managed in a cohesive way. As a result, the health of a service often cannot be managed, or even characterized and reported. Most often, service status is only characterized as “up” or “down.” In evolving complex computer systems, a consistent method is required to analyze service health to a more robust level of detail. Greater

1 granularity of service status would enable construction of useful service goals and  
2 management of service level objectives in order to achieve greater consistency of  
3 performance and availability.

4 Yet another problem with service health performance monitoring is that the rules  
5 related to data collection and analysis of performance instrumentation are constantly  
6 changing. Every time a new version of an application is introduced into an environment,  
7 the performance information related to the application may change. Likewise, the  
8 performance monitoring software itself can change. These changes may result in new  
9 ways to access instrumentation or process it, and introduce new data sources, for  
10 example. The system administrator must constantly adapt the way performance  
11 monitoring is configured because of the built-in dependency among the different layers of  
12 the applications, instrumentation, the performance monitoring software, and the  
13 computer operating system environment. Any change in the environment often mandates  
14 a change in performance monitoring.

#### 15 **Summary**

16 Services and their associated applications and processes that run on current  
17 computer systems often do not provide the type of information needed to determine how  
18 well the services are performing. Other services provide information, but in a manner  
19 that is not consistent from application to application. As a result, administrators of the  
20 services often cannot gauge their performance or take actions to prevent performance  
21 degradation.

22 To achieve consistent service health metrics, a method and an apparatus  
23 combine various measurements from both internal sources and external sources that  
24 relate to the service under observation. This service health information is generated  
25 independently from specific provider applications and performance monitoring tool sets,  
26 thereby allowing shorter time-to-market for service management solutions.

27 The output of the method may be in the form of a programmatic or scriptable  
28 interface to be used by high-level performance monitoring tools that are capable of

1 reporting status of many disparate computer services. The performance monitoring tools  
2 may reside on different systems and architectures and may be supplied by different  
3 vendors. As a result, the programmatic or scriptable interfaces to access service health  
4 metrics are designed to be generic and flexible.

5 The apparatus includes a health generator module that determines the health of a  
6 service by dynamically gathering and/or deriving information from the service or its  
7 constituent applications and processes, and from information related to the system on  
8 which the applications execute. The service health metrics generated may include:  
9 service availability, service capacity, and the current throughput, for example. The  
10 method dynamically derives consistent health metrics for a wide range of services. Input  
11 to the health generator encapsulates knowledge of different applications, their  
12 instrumentation sources, and how they can be affected by various external factors. The  
13 output of the health generator, which may be accessed via programmatic or scriptable  
14 interfaces, can be used by the unrelated performance monitoring tool sets.

15 In producing the consistent service health metrics, the apparatus may use  
16 instrumentation provided by applications and processes making up a service, from user  
17 input, plug-in instrumentation, and system-level performance information. Where the  
18 metrics are not directly available from any source, the apparatus may derive the metrics  
19 from a combination of indirect data sources.

20 The apparatus generates a limited set of metrics to characterize the health of any  
21 service. For example, instead of all the different potentially measurable parameters that  
22 can be derived from instrumentation associated with an application, fewer than ten  
23 specific metrics may be used to comprehensively define service health. In an  
24 embodiment, the set of metrics includes availability, capacity, current throughput, current  
25 average service time, queue length, overall utilization, service violations, and user  
26 satisfaction.

27 To harvest these metrics from different sources, the apparatus and method may  
28 be used to solicit feedback from a customer, to benchmark the service, or to probe the

service. Plug in modules, which are essentially monitoring packages specific to an application, may be used to access performance instrumentation specific to an application. However the performance information is gathered, the apparatus and method translate the gathered performance information, or metrics, into health metrics. The result is an abstracted set of consistent service health metrics that can be provided to the performance monitoring tools such that the tools may use these metrics without needing to know how the health metrics were derived. This decouples the performance tool implementation from the metric derivation and removes dependencies between the services, their implementation, and the management tool set. For example, a tool may use the generated service level violation metric to generate an alert when violations raise above a threshold. The performance monitoring tools do not need to know anything about the service, its instrumentation, or how the service level metric is calculated. The tool simply compares the resultant metric against its threshold. The performance monitoring tool uses a programmatic interface library call or script interface to access health metrics for all current services. If the underlying application changes, the current version of the performance monitoring tool is unaffected because of this consistent interface. As a result, the system administrator does not necessarily need to install a new version of the performance monitoring tool. Thus, the apparatus and method are extensible without propagating a dependency up into the higher levels of the management software.

### **Description of the Drawings**

The detailed description will refer to the following drawings, in which like numbers refer to like items, and in which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram showing the overall concept for providing the health of a service or application;

Figure 2 is a block diagram of performance metrics that measure the health of a service or application;

1 Figure 3 is an overall block diagram of a system that uses a health generation  
2 algorithm to determine the health of a service hosted on the system;

3 Figure 4 is a block diagram of an apparatus from Figure 3 that provides the  
4 health of the service;

5 Figure 5 is a block diagram of a data collection engine used with the apparatus  
6 of Figure 3; and

7 Figure 6 is a flowchart illustrating a method for extracting the health of the  
8 service hosted on the system of Figure 3.

### 9 **Detailed Description**

10 Modern computer systems, including networked computers, and the services  
11 that are provided by them, are subject to performance degradation for a variety of  
12 reasons, many of which relate to the use of shared resources including disk bandwidth,  
13 memory capacity, and central processing unit (CPU) utilization. Information technology  
14 (IT) system administrators track performance of their computer systems to ensure  
15 optimum allocation of these and other shared resources. Performance monitoring  
16 software provides system administrators with the tools necessary to track system  
17 performance and to diagnose problems. The performance monitoring software may  
18 provide immediate performance information about a computer system, allow the  
19 administrator to examine computer system activities, identify and resolve bottlenecks,  
20 and tune the computer system for more efficient operation. System administrators are  
21 interested in tracking performance of their computer systems to ensure optimum  
22 allocation of these and other shared resources. Performance management software  
23 provides the administrator with the tools necessary to continually track computer system  
24 performance and diagnose problems. The performance management software provides  
25 immediate performance information about a computer system, allows the administrator  
26 to examine computer system activities, identify and resolve bottlenecks, and tune the  
27 computer system for more efficient operation.

Each service, which may be composed of various web and database applications, may have internal status instrumentation that may be made available to external monitoring software. While some applications may individually provide measures of their service health, a universal implementation of any one standard instrumentation approach is unlikely to emerge, given the variety of application environments, platforms, and the rapid development pace of new services. Likewise, monitoring tools available in the market cannot adapt to rapid-paced specific changes in the applications they are required to monitor. Furthermore, services are rarely aware of the complex computer environment in which their applications operate. External sources affect services, yet the external sources are not monitored and managed in a cohesive way. As a result, the health of a service often cannot be characterized or reported.

To solve these problems, a method and an apparatus are used to derive consistent service health measures by combining various instrumentation from both internal sources and external sources that relate to the service under observation. The service health metrics may be directly measured or derived from the applications, processes and thread instrumentation, for example. The method is independent of specific provider applications and management tool sets, thereby allowing for shorter time-to-market for service management solutions.

The output of the method may be either in the form of a programmatic or scriptable interface to be used by high-level monitoring tools that are capable of reporting status of many disparate computer services. The tools may reside on different systems and architectures and may be supplied by different vendors. To accommodate different performance monitoring tools, the interfaces are generic and flexible.

Figure 1 is a block diagram showing the overall concept for providing the health of a service. In Figure 1, interfaces inherent to threads, processes, and applications that compose services (services) 11 are accessed by a health generator 10, which derives performance information 12 related to the services 11. The performance information 12 may include one or more metrics related to performance. The health generator 10

1 transforms the performance information 12 into a consistent set of health metrics 14 that  
2 can be accessed by an end consumer 13. The end consumer 13 may be a performance  
3 monitoring tool set that is intended to monitor the performance of the services 11, and  
4 would generate alarms, alerts, or display the health data via a graphical user interface  
5 (GUI). The performance monitoring tool set may include one or more specific  
6 performance monitoring tools.

7 In the concept illustrated in Figure 1, the health of a service may be quantified by  
8 a discrete set of metrics. For example, eight metrics are derived to totally, or at least  
9 sufficiently, define service health. Figure 2 is a block diagram showing the set of eight  
10 metrics that are used to characterize the health of a diverse group of services. The  
11 metrics include:

12 Availability - a binary indication of whether the service is currently operable  
13 ("up" or "down").

14 Capacity - an indication of the maximum throughput of the service at the current  
15 time. Capacity can vary over time depending on external factors and internal  
16 configuration.

17 Throughput - the service transaction rate. An indication of how much work is  
18 being done by the service at the current time. Typically an average over an appropriate  
19 collection interval.

20 Service Time - the average current clock time per transaction.

21 Queue Length - the average number of transactions queued waiting for service  
22 over the collection interval.

23 Utilization - a percentage indication of the current throughput of the service as  
24 the service relates to the capacity of the service.

25 Service Level Violations - if a service level objective is stated for a service, the  
26 violation count over a measurement interval is the number of transactions that exceed the  
27 service time specified. This metric allows for multiple service objective definitions that  
28 can be used to distinguish transaction distribution levels (e.g., "slow" and "very slow").

1 Also note that there may be different Quality Of Service (QOS) objectives for different  
2 types of transactions within a service or different end-users of a service. Thus there may  
3 be more than one service level, each corresponding to a different QOS category. For  
4 example, "platinum" high-priority users may have a more restrictive service level  
5 objective than normal lower-priority users.

6 User Satisfaction - an indicator from the service consumer as to the relative  
7 ability of the system to provide the required service. This metric is an extension of  
8 availability, and provides a common scale by which to judge performance of a service  
9 from the user's perspective. In an embodiment, user satisfaction may be measured by  
10 submitting a questionnaire to users of the computer system, and recording the customer's  
11 responses. For example, a web service could include an interactive window on the  
12 service's web page that queries every 100<sup>th</sup> user who accesses the web page as to how  
13 "happy" the user is with the service responsiveness. The user may be asked to select  
14 from a list of responses, such as "Great" "OK" and "Too Slow" The collected  
15 responses are a metric useful for determining user satisfaction, though they may also  
16 need to be scaled according to Quality Of Service category.

17 The metrics shown in Figure 2 are sufficient, but other metrics may be added to  
18 further define details of the health of a service. Use of the metrics 12 will be described  
19 later in detail.

20 Figure 3 is an overall block diagram of a system 100 that uses a health  
21 generation algorithm to determine the health of a service hosted on the system 100. In  
22 Figure 3, instrumentation sources within the applications which instantiate services 11 is  
23 accessed to generate the performance information 12 input to the health generator 10.  
24 The health generator 10 provides the output health data 14 to the end consumer 13,  
25 shown in Figure 3 as a performance monitoring tool set. Interposed between the end  
26 consumer 13 and the health generator 10 is a shared memory implementation 107 and a  
27 health application programming interface (API) or a scriptable interface 108. The

1 shared memory implementation 107 provides for data storage accessible via the health  
2 API 108, for example.

3 The shared memory implementation 107 stores the health data 14 so that any  
4 performance management tool in the performance monitoring tool set may access the  
5 health data 14, and may do so at an interval consistent with the design of the  
6 performance monitoring tools set. For example, a first vendor might have a different  
7 agent to report performance information than does a second vendor. Both vendor's  
8 tools could access the shared memory implementation 107 via a common API library to  
9 get the same health data 14 asynchronously and at different time intervals. The shared  
10 memory implementation 107, with an API library for access, accommodates the needs  
11 of multiple consumers.

12 The computer system 100 is also shown with an operating system 103 and other  
13 external data sources 101. Performance of various subsystems within the operating  
14 system 103 may affect and be indicative of the health of any of the services 11.  
15 Accordingly, other external data sources 101 may provide performance information to  
16 the health generator 10. Other data sources 101 may include metrics from applications  
17 104 unrelated to the specific service 11, or response-time data from independent probes  
18 or sensors 105.

19 Examples of the data sources 101 and 103 - 105 include techniques and  
20 programs that may be used to report performance information. These techniques and  
21 programs include a user application response, which is a direct measure of the response  
22 of the service from the customer, user, or other service that depends on service.  
23 Various instrumentation packages (such as the Application Response Measurement  
24 (ARM) industry standard) can be used to provide this data.

25 Performance information can also be supplied by plug-in instrumentation. Many  
26 applications have plug-in modules customized specifically to an application. The plug-in  
27 modules are used by the performance monitoring tools to provide status. Examples are  
28 monitoring packages available with database packages. These plug-ins vary in their

1 implementation, interfaces, and applicability. A flexible interface allows the use of plug-  
2 in instrumentation data as a source to the health generator 10 without requiring changes  
3 to the externally-supplied plug-in or underlying application.

4 Data could also be gathered manually, for example from surveys 102. The data  
5 gathered from all sources 11 and 101 - 104 is used by the health generator 10 to  
6 produce the health data 14.

7 Figure 4 is an exemplary block diagram of the health generator 10. A collection  
8 of one to many independent data collection engines 121 gather performance information  
9 12 from service instrumentation 11, OS instrumentation 103, and external sources 101.  
10 The data collection engines 121 will be described in more detail later. An interval  
11 control engine 123 ensures the different data sources are coordinated. The collected  
12 information is passed to a data analysis engine 125 which applies a set of rules 127 that  
13 control translation of the input data to the published health metrics 14. As an example, a  
14 specific response-time data collection engine 121 for Application Response  
15 Measurement (ARM) data may be used to influence a specific rule 127 that controls the  
16 Service Time health metric 14.

17 Figure 5 is a block diagram of the data collection engine 121. Note that the  
18 health generator 10 may include many data collection engines 121. Each of the data  
19 collection engines 121 may be a separate process or thread, and may be dedicated to  
20 collecting data related to different health or performance metrics. Each of the data  
21 engines acquire information from a specific data source, and may provide the acquired  
22 data to the data analysis engine 125 (by way of the interval control engine 123).

23 Each of the data collection engines 121 may include one or more input  
24 mechanisms and components to collect or derive information from a particular source.  
25 The input mechanisms include a data query module 131 and a data derivation module  
26 133. The data query module 131 may be used when a data source provides its own  
27 measure of performance. For example, when a service is instrumented to provide

1 performance information such as response time, the health generator 10 may use the  
2 performance data, either in its raw form, or perhaps in a modified or summarized format.

3 When an service is not instrumented to provide performance information, or  
4 when the reported performance information is not in a usable format, the data derivation  
5 module 133 may be used to extract the desired performance information from the data  
6 source. The data derivation module 133 may operate in connection with known  
7 methods for extracting information from a service. One such method involves writing a  
8 wrapper program to extract the performance information. The wrapper is a software  
9 layer inserted between the data collection engine 121 and the service being monitored.  
10 Every time a transaction occurs in the service, information may be passed through the  
11 wrapper. The wrapper receives the information and passes the information to the data  
12 collection engine. For example, a wrapper may be written for a database application.  
13 Every time a database call is made, the call goes through the wrapper. Upon  
14 transmission of the call, the wrapper records a start time. When the database call is  
15 complete, the wrapper records a stop time. The difference between the start and stop  
16 times may then be computed and reported as a performance metric, or an input to a  
17 performance metric. Other methods for deriving data include use of a benchmark  
18 program and use of a probe program, both of which are known in the art.

19 Returning to Figure 4, the data analysis engine 125 accesses the data from the  
20 data collection engine 121 to relate the collected performance information to the output  
21 metrics 14 shown in Figure 2. In particular, the data analysis engine 125 determines  
22 which collected parameters are to be associated with a particular output metric  
23 according to a user-modifiable set of rules 127. The data analysis engine 125 may  
24 determine that a specific collected metric should be associated with one, or more than  
25 one, output metric.

26 The interval control engine 123 accommodates metrics with different reporting  
27 times, or intervals, and different data read requirements of the performance monitoring  
28 tools. That is, since the input harvesting and output generation performed by the health

generator 10 may need to proceed asynchronously, intervalization control may be required to ensure consistency in the results. For example, some summarization may need to occur to the input data in order to provide the health data 14 to the performance monitoring tool relevant to the time interval the performance monitoring tool expects. As a more specific example, a database application may provide metric data once every 30 seconds. However, a performance monitoring tool may request performance information every five minutes. As a result, the health generator 10 would get 10 samples of data for every one time the performance monitoring tool requests a report of that data. This problem is exacerbated when several different performance monitoring tools are accessing the data. The different performance monitoring tools may all impose different constraints on the output of the health generator 10, so that an asynchronous method may be required to ensure the consistency of the results. As a result, there may be a need to summarize data if the data is being processed by the analysis engine several times during one interval, for example.

The rules set 127 provides algorithms and rules to translate the metrics supplied by the data collection engine 121 into a generic format that is usable by the performance monitoring tools. Any collected (i.e., instrumentation accessed or derived) information may be translated to conform to one of the eight metrics shown in Figure 2. If a service uses an instrumented system, the system may be instrumented to report response time. For example, if an ARM agent average response time is collected by the data collection engine 121, the collected information may be translated into the Service Time output metric. If no other rule has applicability to Service Time, then the ARM agent input will have exclusive control over the Service Time metric, and the rules 127 may not perform any translation.

Other rules that may be applied include weighting schemes. For example, service time may be derived from a remote NFS server as the major component of the Service Time metric. The derived service time may be weighted against more direct measures of the Service Time metric, such as ARM data.

Other rules may include averaging, summarizing, adding and subtracting values for specific performance parameters. For example, service health may depend on how busy resources of the Operating System are. If, for example, a system is totally dedicated to one service, then its utilization metric may be composed of the utilization of the OS resources as a whole. When the CPU or a disk I/O path is fully utilized, the service itself is. Thus the utilization service health metric can be derived by the highest value of either CPU or disk I/O utilization. In this case, the data analysis engine 125 would combine CPU utilization and disk utilization into one output metric which is service utilization.

The output of the health generation process is made available using a shared memory implementation 107, accessible via either an API or a scriptable interface, so that different performance management tools can simultaneously interrogate the service health data 14 at their own intervals. By publishing the interface to the data, the consumer of the health data 14 is not dependent on the presence or version of the health algorithms used in the health generator 10. Thus, the monitoring tool set is decoupled from the specific applications being analyzed. A performance management tool using the output of the health generator 10 will not need to be revised based on changes to a specific service. Instead, existing input mechanisms can be configured to collect new data and rules can be added or changed to provide this functionality.

Figure 6 is a flowchart illustrating a process 200 for extracting the health of the service hosted on the system of Figure 3, or on a similar system. The process 200 begins in block 201.

In collect inputs block 203, data collection engines 121 read performance information provided by associated with one or more services hosted on this system. The performance information may include response time, queue length, or other information related to the performance of the service. The performance information may be provided by instrumentation supplied with the service, or derived externally.

1 In metric translation block 207, the collected performance information is  
2 analyzed and appropriate rules 127 are then applied by the analysis engine 125 to  
3 convert the collected information into metrics having a format consistent with the output  
4 data scheme used by the health generator 10 to provide the health data 14. In an  
5 embodiment, the health data 14 may include the eight metrics shown in Figure 2. Thus,  
6 any collected information may be translated to conform to one of the eight metrics. For  
7 example, if an ARM agent average response time filtered by the specific applications  
8 making up the service is collected by the data collection engine 121, the collected  
9 information may be translated into the Service Time output metric for that service. If no  
10 other rule has applicability to Service Time, then the ARM agent input will have exclusive  
11 control over the Service Time metric, and the rules 127 may not perform any translation.  
12 Other rules that may be applied include weighting schemes to combine input from several  
13 different collectors.

14 Once all metrics for a service are defined, and the corresponding performance  
15 information gathered or derived, the resulting health data 14 output is provided to the  
16 shared memory implementation 107, block 209. As noted above, the output interface  
17 may be an API or a scriptable interface. The method will allow multiple and different  
18 performance monitoring tools to simultaneously interrogate the health data 14 at intervals  
19 that correspond to the design of the performance monitoring tools. Access to and use of  
20 the health data 14 is independent of the method used to collect the health data 14, and  
21 the manner in which the health data 14 is stored. In block 211, the process ends.